UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,081	05/03/2006	Sverker Hartwig	AC-110	4774
Mark P. Stone			EXAMINER	
50 Broadway			ANDRISH, SEAN D	
Hawthorne, NY	10332		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/578,081	HARTWIG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	1
Sean Andrish	3672	l

Application No.

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 December 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. 🛛 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of				
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which				
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)				
a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following				
time periods:				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee				
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as				
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed,				
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
NOTICE OF APPEAL				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for				
appeal; and/or				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: Applicant argues that Tamrock teaches setting the flush power prior to drilling and maintaining the flush power				
throughout the drilling process. Therefore, Tamrock fails to teach or suggest adjusting flush power during a rock drilling				
process. Examiner replies that the phrase "during the rock drilling process" is only recited in the preamble, and the				
Examiner explains that the drilling process includes all steps involved in the execution of a drilling operation, including the				
steps of the set-up and installation of the drilling equipment, which includes the step of setting the flush power as a				
function of hole depth; using the drilling equipment to form a bore in the ground; and the removal of the drilling equipment				
after the bore has been drilled to the predetermined depth. Therefore, even if the flush power is not adjusted while the				
bore is being formed, the flush power must at least be adjusted during the initial planning phase of the rock drilling				
process.				
Applicant argues that Tamrock fails to teach controlling the power consumption of each sub-process simultaneously.				
Examiner notes that the claims do not require simultaneous control of each subprocess. Examiner refers to section 3.1 of				
the Tamrock reference, which teaches the optimization of rock breakage by optimizing percussion power, feed force,				
rotational speed, and flushing. Examiner explains that the optimization of each of the parameters listed above consitutes				
simultaneous control of each subprocess. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 25</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and				
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:				
The requestion reconsideration has been considered but does including application in condition for allowance because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. ☐ Other:				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/William P Neuder/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20101230